arXiv:1105.3261v2 [math.AG] 18 Jun 2011

SEMI-PERFECT OBSTRUCTION THEORY AND DT INVARIANTS OF DERIVED OBJECTS

HUAI-LIANG CHANG AND AND JUN LI

Abstract. We introduce a semi-perfect obstruction theory of a Deligne-Mumford stack X that consists of local perfect obstruction theories with a global obstruction sheaf. We construct the virtual cycle of a Deligne-Mumford stack with a semi-perfect obstruction theory. We use semi-perfect obstruction theory to construct virtual cycles of moduli of derived objects on Calabi-Yau threefolds.

1. Introduction

In this note, we introduce the notion of semi-perfect obstruction of a Deligne-Mumford stack. This notion has the advantage of the two perfect obstruction theories introduced in [LT] and [BF], by combining the local version of the perfect obstruction theory formulated in [BF] with the locality of the virtual normal cone proved in [LT]. This construction applies to moduli spaces that do not have universal families, like moduli of derived objects; it makes working with virtual cycles flexible.

Let $X \to \mathcal{M}$ be a representable morphism from a Deligne-Mumford stack to a smooth Artin stack of pure dimension. A semi-perfect relative obstruction theory ϕ of $X \to \mathcal{M}$ consists of an étale cover $U_\alpha \to X$ and (truncated) perfect obstruction theory $\phi_\alpha : E_\alpha \to \mathbb{L}^{\bullet \geq -1}_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}}$ such that the obstruction sheaves $\mathcal{O}b_{\phi_\alpha} = H^1(E_\alpha^\vee)$ descend to an obstruction sheaf on X, and that the infinitesimal obstruction assignments of deforming closed points in X are independent of the charts U_α.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}b_\phi$ the sheaf stack of the obstruction sheaf $\mathcal{O}b_\phi$ of the semi-obstruction theory ϕ. We let s be the zero section of $\mathcal{O}b_\phi$. We will make sense of the group of cycles $Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi$, and construct a Gysin map $s^! : Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi \to A_*X$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $X \to \mathcal{M}$ as stated has a semi-perfect relative obstruction theory ϕ. Then the collection of intrisic normal cones $\mathcal{C}_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}} \subset h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}^\bullet_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}})^\vee))$ pushforward and glue to a cycle $[\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi$. We define the virtual class of (X, ϕ) be*

$$[X, \phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} = s^![\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in A_*X.$$

This class has the usual properties satisfied by virtual classes.

Using the construction of the moduli spaces of derived objects by Inaba [Ina] and Lieblich [Lie], and the perfect obstruction of derived objects over Calabi-Yau threefolds by Huybrecht-Thomas [HT], we show that the moduli of derived objects has a semi-perfect obstruction theory. Applying the main theorem, we obtain its virtual cycle, whose degree is the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of the moduli space, and its deformation invariance property.

The new input of this paper is that we put the cycle associated to the coarse moduli of the intrinsic normal cone of a Deligne-Mumford stack into its obstruction sheaf, assuming the existence of a semi-perfect obstruction theory. Historically, the virtual cycle construction of Tian and the second named author [LT] constructed the virtual normal cone in a vector bundle that surjects onto the obstruction sheaf; that of Behrend-Fantechi [BF] constructed the intrinsic normal cone in the normal sheaf of the stack and then put it in the bundle-stack h^1/h^0 of the obstruction theory. After Kresch's [Kr2] construction, one can apply the Gysin map to a cycle in the bundle-stack directly, bypassing the need of the mentioned global vector bundles. Later, in [Li1] and [Li2] the intrinsic normal cone was implicitly put inside the obstruction sheaf. For moduli spaces that lack universal families but do have obstruction sheaves (of their semi-perfect obstruction theories), putting their intrinsic normal cones in the obstruction sheaves allows one to apply the Gysin map to obtain their virtual cycles.

Convention. In this paper, for a morphism $\rho : V \to U$ that is either a closed embedding, an étale morphism, or a composition of both, we will use restricting to V, i.e. $\cdot|_V$, to denote the pullback under ρ. For instance, given a derive object E on U, we denote by $E|_V = \rho^* E$; for a stack Y over U, we denote by $Y|_V = Y \times_U V$, and for $\phi : E \to F$ a homomorphism of derived objects on U, we denote by $\phi|_V$ the pullback homomorphism $\rho^*\phi : \rho^* E \to \rho^* F$.

We fix a characteristic zero algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$. All schemes and stacks in this paper are defined over $\mathbf{k}$.

Acknowledgment: We thank T. Nevins and S. Katz for pointing out an oversight of the original version of this paper. The first named author is supported by a Hong Kong DAG grant. The second named author is partially supported by an NSF grant and a DARPA grant.

2. Intrinsic normal cones in the obstruction sheaves

In this section, we put the intrinsic normal cone in the obstruction sheaf, and show that it only depends on the the obstruction class assignments.

We let $\mathcal{M}$ be as before, which is a pure dimensional smooth Artin stack of finite presentations. Let $U \to \mathcal{M}$ be a morphism from a scheme of finite type to $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.1 ([BF]). *A (truncated) perfect (relative) obstruction theory of $U \to \mathcal{M}$ consists of a morphism in $D(U)$*

$$\phi : E \longrightarrow L_{U/\mathcal{M}} \tag{2.1}$$

such that

1. *E is a perfect complex in $D(U)$ of amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$;*
2. *$h^0(\phi)$ is an isomorphism, and $h^{-1}(\phi)$ is surjective.*

Given ϕ, we call $\mathcal{O}b_\phi := h^1(E^\vee)$ its obstruction sheaf.

Following [BF] and [Kr1, Kr2], we denote the intrinsic normal cone and the intrinsic normal sheaf of $U/\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_{U/\mathcal{M}} \subset N_{U/\mathcal{M}} := h^1/h^0((L_{U/\mathcal{M}})^\vee) \cong h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_{U/\mathcal{M}})^\vee). \tag{2.2}$$

We denote its associated cycle by $[\mathcal{C}_{U/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_* N_{U/\mathcal{M}}$. Let

$$h^1/h^0(\phi^\vee) : N_{U/\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\subset} h^1/h^0(E^\vee) \tag{2.3}$$

be induced by the truncated perfect obstruction theory.

In this paper, for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules. We form the sheaf stack of $\mathcal{F}$, which is the groupoid that associates to any $\rho : S \to U$ the set $\Gamma(S, \rho^*\mathcal{F})$. By abuse of notation, we denote the sheaf stack of $\mathcal{F}$ by the same symbol $\mathcal{F}$. And following the h^1/h^0 notation, we denote by $h^1(E^\vee)$ the sheaf stack of the cohomology sheaf $H^1(E^\vee)$. Applying this to $\mathcal{O}b_\phi = H^1(E^\vee)$ and composed with (2.3), we have the induced composite morphism of stacks

$$\eta_\phi : N_{U/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow h^1/h^0(E^\vee) \longrightarrow h^1(E^\vee). \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.2. *We call a substack $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ a reduced cycle if for any locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules and a surjective $f : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$, and denoting by the same f the induced morphism of their respective stacks $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{F}} A$ is a reduced Zariski closed subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Given three reduced cycles A_1, A_2 and A_3 of $\mathcal{F}$, we say $A_3 = A_1 \cup A_2$ if for the $f : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{F}$ as before, $\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_3 = \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_1 \cup \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_2$ as subschemes of $\mathcal{V}$. We say A is integral if it is reduced and is not a union of two distinct non-empty reduced cycles of $\mathcal{F}$. We define $Z_*\mathcal{F}$ to be the (rational) linear combinations of integral cycles in $\mathcal{F}$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A \subset h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$ be an integral cycle. Then the image $\eta_\phi(A) \subset h^1(E^\vee)$ is an integral cycle in $h^1(E^\vee)$. We call the cycle $[\eta_\phi(A)]$ the push-forward of $[A]$, and denote it by $\eta_{\phi*}[A] \in Z_*h^1(E^\vee)$.*

Proof. We let $E = [E_{-1} \to E_0]$ with both E_i locally free; let $\mathcal{V} = E_{-1}^\vee$ and let $\mathcal{V}[-1] \to E^\vee$ be induced by the identity $\mathcal{V} \to E_{-1}^\vee$. By viewing $\mathcal{V}$ as the sheaf stack of $\mathcal{V}$, the arrow $\mathcal{V}[-1] \to E^\vee$ induces a morphism $p : \mathcal{V} \to h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$.

Let $A \subset h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$ be an integral Artin substact. By definition,

$$p^{-1}(A) := A \times_{h^1/h^0(E^\vee)} \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}$$

is a reduced Zariski closed subset. Let $p' : \mathcal{V} \to h^1(E^\vee)$ be the morphism of stack induced by the same $\mathcal{V}[-1] \to E^\vee$. It fits into a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{V} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[d, "p'"] \\
h^1/h^0(E^\vee) \arrow[r, "q"] & h^1(E^\vee).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that

$$p'^{-1}(q(A)) := q(A) \times_{h^1(E^\vee)} \mathcal{V} = \ p^{-1}(A) \subset \mathcal{V}.$$

We prove that $p'^{-1}(q(A)) \subset p^{-1}(A)$; the other direction of inclusion is similar and more direct. Let T be any affine scheme and $\rho : T \to U$ a morphism. By definition, an object in $p'^{-1}(q(A))(\rho)$ consists of a pair of a T-morphism $\xi_1 : T \to \mathcal{V}|_T$ and an $E_0^\vee|_T$-equivariant T-morphism $\xi_2 : P \to E_{-1}^\vee|_T$, where P is a principle $E_0^\vee|_T$-bundle over T, such that

$$p' \circ \xi_1 = q \circ \xi_2/E_0^\vee, \tag{2.5}$$

where $q \circ \xi_2/E_0^\vee$ is the descent of $q \circ \xi_2$ to $T \to h^1(E^\vee)|_T$ using that $E_0^\vee$ acts on $h^1(E^\vee)$ trivially.

We need to show that ξ_1 is an object in $p^{-1}(A)(\rho)$. For this, it suffices to show that $p(\xi_1) \cong \xi_2$. Following [BF, Sect. 2], $p(\xi_1)$ is given by the $E_0^\vee|_T$-principle bundle $P' = E_0^\vee|_T$ with the tautological projection $\pi' : P' \to T$ and a $\xi_2' : P' \to E_{-1}^\vee|_T$ via $\xi_2'(v) = d^\vee(v) + \xi_1(\pi'(v))$, where $d^\vee : E_0^\vee \to E_{-1}^\vee$ is the dual of $[E_{-1} \to E_0]$.

Because T is affine, we can find a section $s : T \to P$ of the bundle $P \to T$. Then (2.5) implies that

$$p' \circ \xi_1 = (q \circ p) \circ \xi_2 \circ s = p' \circ \xi_2 \circ s : T \longrightarrow h^1(E^\vee)|_T.$$

Therefore, there is a section $t : T \to E_0^\vee|_T$ so that $\xi_2 \circ s = \xi_1 + d^\vee \circ t : T \to \mathcal{V}$. Therefore, we can find an isomorphism $P \cong P'$ as principle bundle so that $\xi_2' \cong \xi_2$. This proves that $p(\xi_1) \cong \xi_2$; namely, ξ_1 is an object in $p^{-1}(A)$. This proves the Lemma. □

Representing $[\mathcal{C}_{U/\mathcal{M}}]$ as a linear combination of integral cycles in $N_{U/\mathcal{M}}$, and applying the push-forward $\eta_{\phi *}$, we obtain

$$[\mathfrak{c}_\phi] = \eta_{\phi *}[\mathcal{C}_{U/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_* h^1(E^\vee).$$

In the remainder of this subsection, we study the dependence of this cycle on the obstruction theory ϕ. Suppose we have another truncated perfect relative obstruction theory

$$\phi' : E' \longrightarrow L_{U/\mathcal{M}}, \tag{2.6}$$

and suppose we have an isomorphism

$$\psi : h^1(E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\cong} h^1(E'^\vee). \tag{2.7}$$

We study when the cycles $\psi_*[\mathfrak{c}_\phi] = [\mathfrak{c}_{\phi'}]$.

As was proved in [LT], the cycle $[\mathfrak{c}_\phi]$ is determined by the obstruction theories to deforming closed points in X. The uniqueness proof given here reminiscent to that in [LT].

For any closed $p \in U$, we denote

$$T^i_{p,U/\mathcal{M}} = H^i((L_{U/\mathcal{M}})^\vee|_p). \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.4. *We define the intrinsic obstruction space to deforming $p \in U$ be $T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}$; we define the obstruction space (of the obstruction theory ϕ) to deforming $p \in U$ be $\mathrm{Ob}(\phi, p) = H^1(E^\vee|_p)$.*

Definition 2.5. *Let $\iota : T \to T'$ be a closed subscheme with T' local Artinian. Let I be the ideal sheaf of T in T', and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the ideal sheaf of the closed point of T'. We call ι a small extension if $I \cdot \mathfrak{m} = 0$. Given a small extension $(T, T', I, \mathfrak{m})$ that fits into a commutative square*

$$\begin{tikzcd} T \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\iota"] & U \arrow[d] \\ T' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{M} \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.9}$$

so that the image of g contains a closed point $p \in U$, finding a morphism $g' : T' \to U$ that commutes with the arrows in (2.9) *is called "infinitesimal lifting problem of $U/\mathcal{M}$ at p".*

Applying the standard obstruction theory and using

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(g^* L_{U/\mathcal{M}}, I) = \mathrm{Ext}^i(g^* \mathbb{L}^\bullet_{U/\mathcal{M}}, I), \quad i = 0, 1, \tag{2.10}$$

we obtain

Lemma 2.6 ([Ill, Chap. 3, Thm. 2.1.7])**.** *For an infinitesimal lifting problem of* $U/\mathcal{M}$ *at* p *as in* (2.9)*, there is a canonical element*

$$\omega(g,T,T')\in \operatorname{Ext}^1(g^*L_{U/\mathcal{M}},I)=T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}\otimes_{\mathbf{k}} I$$

whose vanishing is necessary and sufficient for the lifting problem to be solvable; in case the lifting problem is solvable, the collection of the solutions form a torsor under

$$\operatorname{Ext}^0(g^*L_{U/\mathcal{M}},I)=\operatorname{Hom}(g^*\Omega_{U/\mathcal{M}},I).$$

Definition 2.7. *Let* ϕ *in* (2.1) *be a perfect obstruction theory. For the infinitesimal lifting problem* (2.9)*, we call the image*

$$ob(\phi,g,T,T'):=H^1(\phi^\vee)(\omega(g,T,T'))\in \operatorname{Ext}^1(g^*E,I)=\operatorname{Ob}(\phi,p)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}} I \tag{2.11}$$

the obstruction class (of ϕ*) to the lifting problem* (2.9)*.*

Corollary 2.8 ([BF])**.** *Let* ϕ *in* (2.1) *be a perfect relative obstruction theory. Then the lifting problem* (2.9) *is solvable if and only if* $ob(\phi,g,T,T')=0$*.*

Proof. This is true because $H^1(\phi^\vee|_p)$ is injective. □

We now back to the pair of obstruction theories ϕ and ϕ' mentioned in (2.6).

Definition 2.9. *We call* ϕ *and* ϕ' ν*-equivalent if there is an isomorphism of sheaves*

$$\psi: H^1(E^\vee)\xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(E'^\vee) \tag{2.12}$$

so that for every closed point $p\in U$*, and for any "infinitesimal lifting problem of* $U/\mathcal{M}$ *at* p*" as in (2.9), we have*

$$\psi|_p\big(ob(\phi,g,T,T')\big)=ob(\phi',g,T,T')\in \operatorname{Ob}(\phi',p)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}} I.$$

The main result of this section is

Proposition 2.10. *Let* (2.12) *be a* ν*-equivalence of* ϕ *and* ϕ'*, and let* $\eta_\phi: N_{U/\mathcal{M}}\to h^1(E^\vee)$ *be the induced morphisms of stacks (cf.* (2.4)*). Then for any integral cycle* $A\subset N_{U/\mathcal{M}}$*,*

$$\psi_*\big(\eta_{\phi *}[A]\big)=\eta_{\phi' *}[A]\in Z_*h^1(E'^\vee).$$

We recall the following known facts.

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose* G *is a perfect complex over* U *of amplitude* ≤ 0*. Let* $p\in U$ *be a closed point and denote* $V^i=H^i(G^\vee|_p)$*. Then canonically,* $h^1/h^0(G^\vee)|_p\cong [V^1/V^0]$*, where* V^0 *acts on* V^1 *trivially.*

Proof. Let $G=[\cdots\xrightarrow{d_{-1}} G_{-1}\xrightarrow{d_0} G_0\to 0]$, where G_i are locally free. Without loss of generality, we assume $d_0|_p: G_{-1}|_p\to G_0|_p$ is trivial. Let $G'_{-1}=\operatorname{coker}(d_{-1})$. Then $G^{\ge -1}=[G'_{-1}\to G_0]$. We let $C=\operatorname{Spec}\operatorname{Sym}(G'_{-1})$. Then the arrow $G'_{-1}\to G_0$ defines an action $G_0^\vee\times_Y C\to C$, where we view $G_0^\vee$ as the total space of the bundle $G_0^\vee$. By definition (cf. [BF]), $h^1/h^0(G^\vee)=[C/G_0^\vee]$, where $[\cdot]$ means the quotient stack.

By the base change property of $\operatorname{coker}(d_{-1})$, we have $\operatorname{coker}(d_{-1}|_p)=G'_{-1}|_p$. Since $d_0|_p=0$, we have $C\times_U p=V^1$. The lemma then follows from the definition of h^1/h^0 construction. □

We quote the following

Lemma 2.12 ([BF, Prop 4.7])**.** *Let the situation be as in Definition 2.5, and let p be a closed point of U. Given any vector $v \in T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}$, there exists an infinitesimal lifting problem of $U/\mathcal{M}$ at p as in Definition 2.5 such that for some $w \neq 0 \in I$, the obstruction class*

$$w(g,T,T') = v \otimes w \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(g^*L_{U/\mathcal{M}}, I) \cong T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}(p)} I.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Let $E' = [E'_{-1} \to E'_0]$ with both E'_i locally free. Let $\mathcal{V} = E'^{\vee}_{-1}$. To prove the Proposition, by definition, we need to show that for the surjective morphism $\mathcal{V} \to h^1(E'^{\vee})$,

$$\psi(\eta_\phi(A)) \times_{h^1(E'^\vee)} \mathcal{V} = \eta_{\phi'}(A) \times_{h^1(E'^\vee)} \mathcal{V} \tag{2.13}$$

as subsets in $\mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is a scheme and A is integral, both sides of (2.13) are reduced and Zariski closed. Thus to show (2.13), it suffices to check that for any closed $p \in U$, we have

$$\psi(\eta_\phi(A)) \times_{h^1(E'^\vee)} (\mathcal{V}|_p) = \eta_{\phi'}(A) \times_{h^1(E'^\vee)} (\mathcal{V}|_p).$$

But this follows from that there is an isomorphism τ_p making the following square commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N_{U/\mathcal{M}}|_p := h^1/h^0(L^\vee_{U/\mathcal{M}})|_p \arrow[r, "\eta_\phi|_p"] \arrow[d, "\tau_p"] & h^1(E^\vee)|_p \arrow[d, "\psi|_p"] \\
N_{U/\mathcal{M}}|_p := h^1/h^0(L^\vee_{U/\mathcal{M}})|_p \arrow[r, "\eta_{\phi'}|_p"] & h^1(E'^\vee)|_p.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.14}$$

Applying Lemma to $G = L_{U/M}$, we obtain canonical isomorphisms

$$h^1/h^0((L_{U/\mathcal{M}})^\vee)|_p \cong [H^1(L_{U/\mathcal{M}}|_p)^\vee / H^0(L_{U/\mathcal{M}}|_p)^\vee] = [T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}/T^0_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}];$$

applying the same Lemma to $G = E$, we obtain the identity $h^1(E^\vee)|_p = \operatorname{Ob}(\phi,p)$. Similarly we obtain isomorphisms with ϕ and E replaced by ϕ' and E'. Therefore, the existence of τ_p making (2.14) commutative if the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}} \arrow[r, "H^1(\phi^\vee|_p)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \operatorname{Ob}(\phi,p) \arrow[d, "\psi|_p"] \\
T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}} \arrow[r, "H^1(\phi'^\vee|_p)"] & \operatorname{Ob}(\phi',p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

We prove this commutativity. Given any $v \in T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}}$, by Lemma 2.12 there exists an infinitesimal lifting problem (g,T,T') for $U/\mathcal{M}$ at p as in (2.9) and a $0 \neq w \in I$ such that

$$v \otimes w = \omega(g,T,T') \in T^1_{p,U/\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}(p)} I.$$

Since ϕ and ϕ' are numerically equivalent, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\phi^\vee|_p)(v) \otimes w &= H^1(\phi^\vee|_p)(v \otimes w) = \\ &= H^1(\psi^\vee|_p)\big(H^1(\phi'^\vee|_p)(v \otimes w)\big) = H^1(\psi^\vee|_p)\big(H^1(\phi'^\vee|_p)(v)\big) \otimes w. \end{aligned}$$

As $w \neq 0$ and I is a $\mathbf{k}(p)$ vector space, we have

$$H^1(\phi^\vee|_p)(v) = H^1(\psi^\vee|_p)\big(H^1(\phi'^\vee|_p)(v)\big).$$

This proves the Proposition. □

3. Semi perfect obstruction theory

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as before and let X be a Deligne-Mumford stack of locally finite type with a morphism $X \to \mathcal{M}$. Given two schemes U_α and U_β with étale $U_\alpha, U_\beta \to X$, we denote $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \times_X U_\beta$, and for any derived object $F \in D(U_\alpha)$ we denote by $F|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ the pull back of F under the projection $U_{\alpha\beta} \to U_\alpha$.

Definition 3.1. *A semi-perfect relative obstruction theory of $X \to \mathcal{M}$ consists of an étale covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ of X by affine schemes, and truncated perfect relative obstruction theories*

$$\phi_\alpha : E_\alpha \longrightarrow L_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}},\ \alpha \in \Lambda$$

such that
1. for each pair $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ there is an isomorphism

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} : H^1(E_\alpha^\vee)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \longrightarrow H^1(E_\beta^\vee)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \tag{3.1}$$

so that the collection $(H^1(E_\alpha^\vee), \psi_{\alpha\beta})$ forms a descent data of sheaves.
2. for any pair $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, the obstruction theories $\phi_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ and $\phi_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ are ν-equivalent via $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$.

Obviously, a perfect obstruction theory is a semi-perfect obstruction theory.

We fix a semi-perfect obstruction theory $\phi = \{\phi_\alpha, U_\alpha, E_\alpha, \psi_{\alpha\beta}\}_\Lambda$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}b_\phi$ the resulting descent sheaf on X from (1) of Definition 3.1; we call it the obstruction sheaf of the semi-perfect obstruction theory.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, viewed as a sheaf stack.

Definition 3.2. *A reduced cycle A of $\mathcal{F}$ is a substack $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ so that for any étale open $U \to X$, $U \times_{\mathcal{F}} A \subset \mathcal{F}|_U$ is a reduced cycle in the sense of Definition 2.2; given three reduced cycles, A_1, A_2 and A_3, we say $A_3 = A_1 \cup A_2$ if for any étale open $U \to X$, $U \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_3 = U \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_1 \cup U \times_{\mathcal{F}} A_2$ as cycles in $\mathcal{F}|_U$, as defined in Definition 2.2; we call A integral if it is not the union of two distinct non-trivial reduced cycles. We define the cycle group $Z_*\mathcal{F}$ be (rational) linear combinations of integral cycles of $\mathcal{F}$.*

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf over X, by descent, $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ a reduced cycle if it is given by an étale covering $U_\alpha \to X$ and reduced cycles $A_\alpha \subset \mathcal{F}|_{U_\alpha}$ such that over each $U_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$A_\alpha \times_{U_\alpha} U_{\alpha\beta} = A_\beta \times_{U_\beta} U_{\alpha\beta} \subset \mathcal{F}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}. \tag{3.2}$$

We continue to work with the semi-perfect obstruction theory ϕ as in Definition 3.1. We construct a group homomorphism

$$\eta_* : Z_* N_{X/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi \tag{3.3}$$

by patching the collection

$$\eta_{\phi_\alpha *} : Z_* N_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow Z_*\mathcal{O}b_{\phi_\alpha}. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Given an integral Artin substack $[A] \subset Z_* N_{X/\mathcal{M}}$, the collection*

$$[A_\alpha] := \eta_{\phi_\alpha *}[A \times_X U_\alpha] \in Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi|_{U_\alpha}$$

satisfies the descent condition (3.2) to form an integral cycle in $Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of semi-perfect obstruction theories and Proposition 2.10. □

We denote the resulting cycle by $\eta_{\phi *}[A]$. We denote by

$$\eta_{\phi *}: Z_* N_{X/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow Z_* \mathcal{O}b_\phi$$

the homomorphism by linear extension. Applying this to the cycle $[\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_* N_{X/\mathcal{M}}$, we define

$$[\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] = \eta_{\phi *}[\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_* \mathcal{O}b_\phi. \tag{3.5}$$

Let s be the zero section of $\mathcal{O}b_\phi$. To define the virtual cycle of X, we need to construct a Gysin map $s^! : Z_* \mathcal{O}b_\phi \longrightarrow A_* X$. The Gysin map for a bundle stack is constructed in [Kr2]. The construction given here was first introduced in the work of the second named author in [Li1]; it is reiterated in [KL2]. We now sketch its construction.

Assume X is proper and of finite type. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ modules, considered as a sheaf stack.

Given a non-trivial integral cycle $A \subset \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf stack of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, we pick an affine scheme U and an étale $\rho : U \to X$ so that $A \times_X U \neq \emptyset$. Since U is affine, we can find a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_U$ on U and a surjective $\mathcal{V}_U \to \mathcal{F}|_U$. By definition,

$$A|_U \times_{\mathcal{F}|_U} \mathcal{V}_U \subset \mathcal{V}_U$$

is a reduced Zariski closed subset. We let $B \subset U$ be the image of $A|_U \times_{\mathcal{F}|_U} \mathcal{V}_U$ under the projection $\mathcal{V}_U \to U$. We let $Y \subset X$ be the closure of the image $\rho(B)$. Because A is integral, Y is integral; because X is proper, Y is proper.

Because X is a Deligne-Mumford stack, we can find a projective variety S and a generic finite morphism $f : S \to Y$. Then we can find a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on S and a surjective sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{V} \to f^* \mathcal{F}$. Pick an open $S_0 \subset S$ so that $f|_{S_0} : S_0 \to Y$ is étale. Let $D_0 = \mathcal{V}|_{S_0} \times_{\mathcal{F}} A \subset \mathcal{V}|_{S_0}$, and let $D_A \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the closure of D_0. We call

$$(f : S \to Y, \mathcal{V} \to f^* \mathcal{F}, D_A \subset \mathcal{V}) \tag{3.6}$$

a proper-representative of the integral cycle A. Let e be the degree of $f : S \to Y$. We define

$$s^![A] = e^{-1} f_*\big(0^!_{\mathcal{V}}[D_A]\big) \in A_* X,$$

where $0^!_{\mathcal{V}} : Z_* \mathcal{V} \to A_* S$ is the Gysin map of the zero section of $\mathcal{V}$.

Proposition 3.4. *The stated procedure defines a Gysin map*

$$s^! : Z_* \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow A_* X \tag{3.7}$$

by linear extension.

Proof. We need to show that the map $s^!([A])$ of an integral $A \in Z_* \mathcal{F}$ is independent of the choice of the proper-representatives of A. This is essentially proved in [Li1] and [KL2, Sect. 3]. We outline the main idea here. Let $(f', S', \mathcal{V}', D'_A)$ be another proper representative of A. Then we can find a third proper representative $(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{D}_A)$ that fits into the commutative squares

$$\begin{tikzcd} \bar{S} \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "g"] & S' \arrow[d, "f'"] \\ S \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{tikzcd} \bar{\mathcal{V}} \arrow[r, "\rho'"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & g'^* \mathcal{V}' \arrow[d] \\ g^* \mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & g^* f^* \mathcal{F} = g'^* f'^* \mathcal{F} = \bar{f}^* \mathcal{F} \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\bar{f}$ is generically finite, both g and g' are generically finite. Then by the construction of the cycles D_A, D'_A and $\bar{D}_A \subset \bar{\mathcal{V}}$, we have $\rho_*[\bar{D}_A] = d(g)[D_A]$ and $\rho'_*[\bar{D}_A] = d(g')[D'_A]$. Therefore, for s' and $\bar{s}$ the zero sections of $\mathcal{V}'$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}'$, we have

$$(\deg g) f_* s^![D_A] = g_* \bar{s}^![\bar{D}_A] = (\deg g') f'_* s'^![D'_A].$$

Pushing forward to A_*X, we prove that (3.7) is well-defined. □

We prove that the Gysin map preserves the rational equivalence. Like the usual rational equivalence, an integral rational equivalence is a pair (A, h) of an integral cycle A of $\mathcal{F}$ and a non-trivial rational function on A, which we define now.

Definition 3.5. *We define a proper representative of a rational function on an integral* $A \in Z_*\mathcal{F}$ *be a proper representative* $(f, \mathcal{V}, D_A)$ *of* A *as in* (3.6) *and a rational function* $h_f \in \mathbf{k}(D_A)$ *so that for* D_A^{nor} *the normalization of* D_A, $\tilde{h}_f$ *the extension of* h_f *to* D_A^{nor}, *and* $\iota : D_A^{\mathrm{nor}} \to \mathcal{F}$ *the tautological morphism, we have that for any closed* $p \in D_A^{\mathrm{nor}}$, *the restriction* $\tilde{h}_f|_{\iota^{-1}(\iota(p))}$ *is either nowhere defined or takes a single value.*

Let $(f', S', \mathcal{V}', D'_A)$ *and* $h'_{f'} \in \mathbf{k}(D'_a)$ *be another proper representative of a rational function on* A. *We say* $h_f \sim h'_{f'}$ *if for a third proper representative* $(\bar{f}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{D}_A)$ *of* A *fitting into the commutative squares* (3.8) *so that*

$$(g'|_{\bar{D}_A})^*(h'_{f'}) = (g|_{\bar{D}_A})^*(h_f) \in \mathbf{k}(\bar{D}_A).$$

We define a rational function on A *be an equivalence class of proper representatives of rational functions of* A.

We define an integral rational equivalence be a pair (A, h) of an integral cycle A of $\mathcal{F}$ and a non-trivial rational function h on A. We define $W_*\mathcal{F}$ be the (rational) linear combinations of integral rational equivalences of $\mathcal{F}$. We now define the boundary homomorphism

$$\partial : W_*\mathcal{F} \to Z_*\mathcal{F}. \tag{3.9}$$

Let (A, h) be a rational equivalence with A integral and with a proper presentation $(f, S, \mathcal{V}, D_A)$ as in (3.6) and $h_f \in \mathbf{k}(D_A)^*$. We now construct $\partial(A, h)$. We first express

$$\partial(D_A, h_f) = \sum_{A \in I} n_a [D_a], \tag{3.10}$$

where $D_a \subset \mathcal{V}$ are integral. Let $\zeta_S : \mathcal{V} \to f^*\mathcal{F}$ be the tautological map and let $\zeta_X : f^*\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ be the projection. By our definition of h_f, for each D_a, the $B_{a,S} = \zeta_S(D_a) \subset f^*\mathcal{F}$ has the property that $D_a = \zeta_S^{-1}(B_{a,S})$. Hence following the proof of Proposition 2.10, one checks that $B_{a,S}$ is an integral cycle. We let $B_a = \zeta_X(B_{a,S}) \subset \mathcal{F}$; it is an integral cycle in $\mathcal{F}$. Let $e = \deg f$; after defining e_a, which should be the degree of $\zeta_X|_{B_{a,S}} : B_{a,S} \to B_a$, we define

$$\partial(A, h) = e^{-1} \sum_{a \in I} n_a e_a [B_a]. \tag{3.11}$$

We define the degree e_a using étale representative of (A, h). We let $\pi : \mathcal{F} \to X$ be the projection. Since $B_a \subset \mathcal{F}$ is an integral cycle, $\pi(B_a) \subset Y \subset X$ is an integral substack. We pick an affine S' and an étale $f' : S' \to Y$ so that $S' \times_Y \pi(B_a) \to \pi(B_a)$ is dominant. We pick a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}'$ on S' and a surjective $\mathcal{V}'\to f'^*\mathcal{F}$. By shinking S' if necessary, we can assume $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{rank}\ \mathcal{V}'$. We let $D'_A=A\times_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{V}'\subset\mathcal{V}'$.

To compare D_A and D'_A, we form $T=S\times_Y S'$; we let $p:T\to S$ and $p':T\to S'$ be the projections. We then pick an affine opens $U\subset T$ so that if we let $\varphi:\mathcal{V}\to S$ be the projection, $U\cap(\varphi(D_a)\times_Y S')$ is dense in $\varphi(D_a)\times_Y S'$; we pick a dense affine open $U_0\subset U$ so that $p'|_{U_0}:U_0\to S'$ is étale. Since U is affine, we can find an isomorphism $p^*\mathcal{V}|_U\cong p'^*\mathcal{V}'|_U$ that commutes with the projection $p^*\mathcal{V}\to p^*f^*\mathcal{F}$ and $p'^*\mathcal{V}'\to p'^*f'^*\mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_0\subset U\subset T \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "p"] & S' \arrow[d] \\
S \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \quad\text{and}\quad \begin{tikzcd}
p'^*\mathcal{V}'|_U \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & p'^*f'^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\tilde{\mathcal{V}}:=p^*\mathcal{V}|_U \arrow[r] & p^*f^*\mathcal{F}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We now view $D_A\times_S U$ and $D'_A\times_{S'}U$ as subsets in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ using the isomorphisms above, and using that $U_0\to Y$ is étale. Since $U_0\to Y$ is étale, we have that

$$D_A\times_S U_0=D'_A\times_{S'}U_0=A\times_{\mathcal{F}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|_{U_0}\subset\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|_{U_0}.$$

We let $\tilde{D}_A$ be the closure of $D_A\times_S U_0$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. We let $q:\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\to\mathcal{V}$ and $q':\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\to\mathcal{V}'$ be the projections.

By our assumption on $h_f\in\mathbf{k}(D_A)^*$, we see that $(q|_{\tilde{D}_A})^*(h_f)$ descends to a rational function on D'_A; we denote the descent by $h_{f'}\in\mathbf{k}(D'_A)^*$. We express

$$\partial(D'_A,h_{f'})=\sum_{b\in I'}n'_b[D'_b],$$

where D'_b are integral.

Finally, we pick a $b(a)\in I'$ so that the intersection

$$\tilde{D}_a:=(D_a\times_S U)\cap(D'_{b(a)}\times_{S'}U)\subset\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where we view both $D_a\times_S U$ and $D'_{b(a)}\times_{S'}U$ as subsets of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ using the isomorphisms in the square above, $\tilde{D}_a$ dominates both D_a and $D'_{b(a)}$. Because $h_{f'}$ is the descent of $(q|_{\tilde{D}_A})^*(h_f)$, a direct checking shows that such $b(a)\in I'$ exists.

The geometric meaning of this construction mimics the fiber product over $\mathcal{F}$. We let $\bar{B}_a\subset\mathcal{F}|_S$ be the image stack of D_a under $\mathcal{V}\to f^*\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}|_S$. We let $B'_{b(a)}\subset\mathcal{F}|_{S'}$ be the image of $D'_{b(a)}$ under $\mathcal{V}'\to f'^*\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}|_{S'}$. Our assumption that (3.12) dominates D_a and $D'_{b(a)}$ ensures that the image of $B'_{b(a)}$ under $\mathcal{F}|_{S'}\to\mathcal{F}$ is B_a. (Note that $\bar{B}_a$ maps to B_a because B_a is the image of D_a.) This way, the degree of $\bar{B}_a\to B_a$ is the same as the degree of

$$\bar{B}_a\times_{\mathcal{F}}B'_{b(a)}\longrightarrow B'_{b(a)}. \tag{3.13}$$

To define this degree, we pull back the first term in (3.13) to the bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ over U to obtain the $\tilde{D}_a$ in (3.12); we pull back the second term in (3.13) to $\mathcal{V}'$ to obtain $D'_{b(a)}\subset\mathcal{V}'$. Thus the degree of (3.13) is the same as

$$e_a:=\deg(q'|_{\tilde{D}_a}:\tilde{D}_a\longrightarrow D'_{b(a)}).$$

Since this definition uses the fact that the degree of a map is preserved after an étale base change of both the domain and the target, it implies that e_a is well-defined, independent of the choice of the étale cover $S'\to Y$ we pick. Since the checking is routine, we omit it here.

With e_a defined, we define $\partial(A,h)$ using (3.11). By linear extension, we obtain the boundary operation (3.9).

Corollary 3.6. *We have the relation*

$$s^! \circ \partial = 0 : W_*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow A_*X.$$

Proof. We only need to check that for any integral $(A,h) \in W_*\mathcal{F}$, we have $(s^! \circ \partial)(A,h) = 0$. The proof is routine using proper representative of (A,h), which transform this identity to the the identity $s^! \circ \partial$ for rational equivalence in a vector bundle over a scheme. Since the proof follows the argument in [Li1] and [KL2, Sect. 3], we will omit the details here. $\square$

Definition-Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an Artin stack locally of finite type, and let X be a proper Deligne-Mumford stack of finite presentation. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth and of pure dimension, and suppose ϕ is a semi-perfect relative obstruction theory of $X/\mathcal{M}$. The stated procedure produces a (virtual normal) cone cycle $[\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi$. We define the virtual cycle of X be*

$$[X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} := s^![\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in A_*X.$$

We prove that the virtual cycle $[X,\phi_\bullet]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is deformation invariant in the sense of cycles. Consider a fiber-diagram (cf. [BF, Sect. 7]) of separated Deligne-Mumford stacks X and X' to smooth Artin stacks $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\ \mathcal{M}' \arrow[r, "v"] & \mathcal{M} \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ are of pure dimensions, u is representable, and $v : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}$ is a regular immersion. Let $v^! : A_*X \to A_*X'$ be the Gysin homomorphism associated to this square.

Proposition 3.8. *A semi-perfect relative obstruction theory ϕ of $X/\mathcal{M}$ induces a semi-perfect relative obstruction theory ϕ' of $X'/\mathcal{M}'$, and their virtual cycles are related by*

$$v^![X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} = [X',\phi']^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

Proof. We let ϕ be given by $\{\phi_\alpha, U_\alpha, E_\alpha, \psi_{\alpha\beta}\}_\Lambda$. We cover X' by $U'_\alpha = U_\alpha \times_X X'$. Let u_α be the induced morphism fitting into the Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd} U'_\alpha \arrow[r, "u_\alpha"] \arrow[d] & U_\alpha \arrow[d] \\ \mathcal{M}' \arrow[r, "v"] & \mathcal{M}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.14}$$

For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we let

$$\phi'_\alpha = u_\alpha^*\phi_\alpha : E'_\alpha := u_\alpha^* E_\alpha \longrightarrow u_\alpha^* L_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow L_{U'_\alpha/\mathcal{M}'},$$

where the last arrow is induced from the Cartesian square (3.14).

According to [BF, Prop. 7.2], ϕ'_α is a perfect obstruction theory of $U'_\alpha \to \mathcal{M}'$. To form a semi-perfect obstruction theory of $X' \to \mathcal{M}'$, we need transitions $\psi'_{\alpha\beta}$.

Over $U'_{\alpha\beta} = U'_\alpha \times_{X'} U'_\beta = X' \times_X U_{\alpha\beta}$, let $u_{\alpha\beta} : U'_{\alpha\beta} \to U_{\alpha\beta}$ be the tautological inclusion. We define

$$\psi'_{\alpha\beta} := (u_{\alpha\beta})^*(\psi_{\alpha\beta}) : E'_\alpha|_{U'_{\alpha\beta}} \longrightarrow E'_\beta|_{U'_{\alpha\beta}}.$$

Since ϕ is a semi-perfect obstruction theory, one checks that $\phi' = \{U'_\alpha, \phi'_\alpha, E'_\alpha, \psi'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a semi-perfect obstruction theory of $X'/\mathcal{M}'$. Since the checking is routine, we will omit the detail.

We now prove the identity $v^![X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} = [X',\phi']^{\mathrm{vir}}$. Let $L = N_{\mathcal{M}'/\mathcal{M}}$ be the normal bundle to $\mathcal{M}'$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Because $v : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}$ is a regular closed immersion, V is a vector bundle. By the proof of [BF, Prop. 7.2] and [KKP], the Vistoli's rational equivalence

$$(R,h) \in W_*(L \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'}) \subset W_*(L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} N_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'})$$

gives the following identity as cycles:

$$\partial(R,h) = [\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'}/\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}}] - [L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{C}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}] \in Z_*(L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} N_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'}). \tag{3.15}$$

In case $X/\mathcal{M}$ has a perfect relative obstruction theory, push-forward this relation to the bundle-stack of the obstruction complex proves the desired identity $v^![X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} = [X',\phi']^{\mathrm{vir}}$.

We now show that (3.15) proves the same identity in the case of semi-perfect obstruction theory. We let

$$\mathcal{F} := L \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'}} \mathcal{O}b_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'},$$

which is $L \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'}} \mathcal{O}b_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}$ since $\mathcal{O}b_{X'/\mathcal{M}'} = \mathcal{O}b_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'}$.

We let

$$\eta_\alpha : L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} N_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{U'_\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{U'_\alpha} = L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{O}b_{U'_\alpha/\mathcal{M}'}$$

be the morphism (of stacks) induced by $(\mathbb{L}^{\geq -1}_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}})^\vee \to E^\vee_\alpha \to H^1(E^\vee_\alpha)$. Repeating the proof of Proposition 2.10, we conclude that the collection of image cycles $\eta_{\alpha*}[L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathcal{C}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}]$ (resp. $\eta_{\alpha*}[\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}|_{X'}/\mathcal{C}_{X/\mathcal{M}}}|_{U'_\alpha}]$) forms a cycle in $\mathcal{F}$. Obviously, the former form the cycle $L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathfrak{c}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}$; we denote the later by $[\mathfrak{b}] \in Z_*\mathcal{F}$. Letting s_0 be the zero section of the stack $\mathcal{O}b_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}$, we conclude

$$s^![L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathfrak{c}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}] = s_0^![\mathfrak{c}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}] = [X',\phi']^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_*X'.$$

Mimic the proof given in [Li1] and [KL2, Sect. 3], we conclude that

$$s^![\mathfrak{b}] = v^![X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_*X'.$$

Finally, like before we can push R via $\eta_{\alpha*}$ to form a cycle in $\mathcal{F}$; we then check that h descends to a rational function on this cycle, resulting a rational equivalence $\beta \in W_*\mathcal{F}$. Then the relation (3.15) gives

$$\partial\beta = [\mathfrak{b}] - [L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathfrak{c}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}] \in Z_*\mathcal{F}.$$

Since the argument is routine, we will omit the details here.

Combined, we have

$$v^![X,\phi]^{\mathrm{vir}} = s^![L \times_{\mathcal{M}'} \mathfrak{c}_{X'/\mathcal{M}'}] = s^![\mathfrak{b}] - s^!(\partial\beta) = [X',\phi']^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

This proves the Theorem. □

4. VIRTUAL CYCLE OF DERIVED OBJECTS AND DEFORMATION INVARIANCE

In this section, we construct semi-perfect obstruction theory of the moduli of derived objects on a projective Calabi-Yau threefold. In case the moduli space has an open, proper Deligne-Mumford substack, the virtual class of the semi-perfect obstruction defines the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of this moduli space. This for instance apply to the moduli spaces constructed in [Lo].

We fix a smooth family of projective Calabi-Yau threefolds $S \to B$. We follow the convention that for $T \to B$, we use p_S and p_T to denote the projections of $S \times_B T$ to S and T.

We quote a theorem of Inaba [Ina], generalized by Lieblich [Lie].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{D}_{S/B}$ be the stack of objects E in $D^b(S)$ that are relatively perfect over B and that for all geometric points $b \in B$ we have $\mathrm{Ext}^{i<0}(E_b, E_b) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^0(E_b, E_b) = \mathbf{k}(b)$. Then $\mathfrak{D}_{S/B}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack locally of finite presentation over B.*

Recall that since $S \to B$ is smooth and projective, an $E \in D^b(S \times_B T)$ is relatively perfect if it is locally perfect [Lie]. In this section, we are interested in the substack of derived objects with fixed determinant line bundle.

Definition 4.2. *Let $E \in D^b(S \times_B T)$ be relatively perfect, and let L be a line bundle on S. We say $\det \mathcal{E} \sim p_S^* L$ if there is a line bundle J on T such that $\det E \cong p_S^* L \otimes p_T^* J$.*

Following [Ina], we introduce the moduli functor

$$\mathrm{Splcpx}^L_{S/B} : (\mathrm{Sch}/B) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets})$$

that sends any B-scheme T to the set of all $E \in D^b(S \times_B T)$ satisfying the requirements in Theorem 4.1 and $\det E \sim p_S^* L$. Applying the proof in [Ina] (see also [Lie]), its étale sheafification $\mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, locally of finite presentation over B.

We introduce the notion of semi-families and show that $\mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ admits a universal semi-family.

Definition 4.3. *For any Deligne-Mumford stack $X \to B$, a semi-family of derived objects in $D^b(S)$ on X consists of an étale open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_\Lambda$ of X, derived objects $E_\alpha \in D^b(S \times_B U_\alpha)$, and quasi-isomorphisms*

$$f_{\alpha\beta} : E_\alpha|_{S\times_B U_{\alpha\beta}} \cong E_\beta|_{S\times_B U_{\alpha\beta}} \quad \text{in} \quad D^b(S \times_B U_{\alpha\beta})$$

that satisfy the semi-cocycle condition: for any triple (α, β, γ) in Λ, there is a $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}^*_{U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}})$ such that

$$\pi^*_{\gamma\alpha} f_{\gamma\alpha} \circ \pi^*_{\beta\gamma} f_{\beta\gamma} \circ \pi^*_{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \cdot \mathrm{id} : E_\alpha|_{S\times_B U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} \longrightarrow E_\alpha|_{S\times_B U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\pi_{\alpha\beta} : S \times_B U_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \to S \times_B U_{\alpha\beta}$ is the tautological projection.

Definition 4.4. *Let $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ be an open substack. A universal semi-family over X consists of a semi-family $\{E_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}\}_\Lambda$ on X of which the following holds: for any scheme T over B and any object $F \in \mathrm{Splcpx}^L_{S/B}(T)$ such that the induced morphism $T \to \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ (induced by F) factors through $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$, then there is an étale cover*

$\{T_\alpha\}_\Lambda$ of T (indexed by the same Λ in $\{U_\alpha\}_\Lambda$) and morphisms $\varphi_\alpha : T_\alpha \to U_\alpha$ such that

$$(1_S \times \varphi_\alpha)^* E_\alpha \cong F|_{S\times_B U_\alpha}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ be an open and closed substack. Then X admits a universal semi-family.*

Proof. The proof follows from that $\mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ is a sheafification of $\mathrm{Splcpx}^L_{S/B}$. ☐

Using universal semi-families of X, and applying the Atiyah class constructed by Huybrecht-Thomas [HT], we construct semi-perfect obstruction theory of X/B.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ be an open substack. Then $X \to B$ has a semi-perfect relative obstruction theory given by the Atiyah class constructed in* [HT].

Proof. Let $\{E_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}\}_\Lambda$ be a universal semi-family. Using that it is universal locally, we conclude that for any closed $x \in U_\alpha$, the family E_α restricted to the formal completion of U_α at x is the universal family of the hull of the infinitesimal deformations of x in U_α. Thus applying the work of Huybrecht-Thomas [HT], after fixing a closed embedding $U_\alpha \to W_\alpha$ into a B-smooth scheme W_α and presenting the truncated cotangent complex of $U_\alpha \to B$ as

$$L_{U_\alpha/B} = [I_{U_\alpha\subset W_\alpha}/I^2_{U_\alpha\subset W_\alpha} \to \Omega_{W_\alpha/B}|_{U_\alpha}],$$

we obtain a perfect relative obstruction theory

$$\phi_\alpha : F_\alpha := \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}}(E_\alpha, E_\alpha)_0 \longrightarrow L_{U_\alpha/B},$$

where the subscript 0 stands for the traceless part.

Using $f_{\alpha\beta}$, we obtain an isomorphism $g_{\alpha\beta}$ as shown making the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \arrow[r, "\phi_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}"] \arrow[d, "g_{\alpha\beta}"] & L_{U_{\alpha\beta}/B} = L_{U_\alpha/B}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \arrow[d, equal] \\
F_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \arrow[r, "\phi_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}"] & L_{U_{\alpha\beta}/B} = L_{U_\beta/B}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative in $D(U_{\alpha\beta})$. Let

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} := H^1(g^\vee_{\beta\alpha}) : H^1(F^\vee_\alpha)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \longrightarrow H^1(F^\vee_\beta)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}.$$

Because a scaling automorphism $c_\alpha \cdot \mathrm{id} : E_\alpha \to E_\alpha$, $c_\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}^*_{U_\alpha})$, induces the identity automorphism of $\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}}(E_\alpha, E_\alpha)_0$, the cocycle condition (4.1) implies that for any triple indices (α, β, γ), pullback to $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ we obtain identity

$$\psi_{\gamma\alpha}|_{U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\beta\gamma}|_{U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} \circ \psi_{\alpha\beta}|_{U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}} = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

This proves that $\{U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha, E_\alpha, \psi_{\alpha\beta}\}_\Lambda$ is a semi-perfect relative obstruction theory of $X \to \mathcal{M}$. ☐

Definition-Theorem 4.7. *In case B is a closed point, S is a smooth projective Calabi-Yau threefold and L is a line bundle on S, for any proper, open and closed substack $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_S$, we define its associated Donaldson-Thomas invariant be* $\deg[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$, *where* $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ *is the virtual cycle constructed by applying Definition-Theorem 3.7 to the semi-perfect obstruction theory of X constructed in Lemma 4.6.*

Back to the case of a smooth family of projective Calabi-Yau $S \to B$ over a smooth base B, we let $X \subset \mathfrak{D}^L_{S/B}$ be a B-proper, open and closed substack. For any closed point $b \in B$, we denote $X_b = X \times_B b$, denote $i_b : X_b \to X$ the inclusion and $i_b^! : A_*X \to A_*X_b$ the Gysin map.

Corollary 4.8. *Let the situation be as stated, then* $i_b^![X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = [X_b]^{\mathrm{vir}}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.8. □

This confirms the deformation invariance of Donaldson-Thomas invariant of proper moduli of derived objects.

5. Further comments

One can define the same Donaldson-Thomas invariant of a moduli of derived objects using Behrend's weighted Euler number [Be], based on Huybrecht-Thomas' construction of perfect obstruction theory [HT]. However, semi-perfect obstruction theory proves that the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of derived objects is deformation invariant.

We can weaken the assumption on semi-perfect obstruction theory by replacing (1) in Definition 3.1 by that restricting $\mathcal{O}b_{\phi_\alpha}$ to the reduced part $(U_\alpha)_{\mathrm{red}}$ of U_α descend to a sheaf on the reduced part of X; the item (2) is unchanged since ν-equivalent only requires the restriction of the obstruction sheaves to the reduced part of the Deligne-Mumford stack. The results of this paper hold true in this weaker version of semi-perfect obstruction theory. Since we do not see immediate application of this, for notational simplicity, in the end we phrase the semi-perfect obstruction theory relying on the full obstruction sheaf of the stack.

In many applications, the $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.1) are induced from quasi-isomorphisms

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta} : E_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \longrightarrow E_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}.$$

If we further assume that these quasi-isomorphisms form a descent data for the stacks $h^1/h^0(E_\alpha^\vee)$, namely there is a two-term perfect complex E on X such that $E_\alpha \cong E|_{U_\alpha}$ and the quasi-isomprhism $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ is isomorphic to that induced by the identity map of E, then we can use intersection theory on bundle stacks to define the virtual cycles. Indeed, by assumption, $\mathcal{O}b_\phi \cong H^1(E^\vee)$, which induces the coarse moduli functor $h^1/h^0(E^\vee) \to \mathcal{O}b_\phi$. For the cycle $[\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in Z_*\mathcal{O}b_\phi$, by expressing it as rational combination of integral cycles $[\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] = \sum_i n_i[\mathfrak{c}_i]$, we define $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i = \mathfrak{c}_i \times_{\mathcal{O}b_\phi} h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$, where each $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i \in Z_*h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$ is an integral cycle, and define

$$[\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] = \sum_i n_i[\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_i] \in Z_*h^1/h^0(E^\vee).$$

Let $\tilde{s}$ be the zero section of $h^1/h^0(E^\vee)$; using the Gysin map $\tilde{s}^!$ defined in [Kr2], we obtain

$$\tilde{s}^![\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] = s^![\mathfrak{c}_{X/\mathcal{M}}] \in A_*X.$$

This way, the intersection theory on Artin stacks can be applied directly.

The last comment is on the relation of the perfect obstruction theory formulated by Tian and the second named author in [LT] with the semi-perfect obstruction theory defined in this paper. It can be shown that a "family Kuranishi model" over an affine chart $U_\alpha \to X$ constructed in [LT] induces a perfect obstruction

$\phi_\alpha : E_\alpha \to L_{U_\alpha/\mathcal{M}}$ as formulated in [BF]. The ν-equivalence over intersection $U_{\alpha\beta}$ follows from the definition of the perfect obstruction theory in [LT]. It is in this sense we say that the semi-perfect obstruction theory is a mixture of the two versions of perfect obstruction theories formulated in [LT] and [BF].

References

Be. K. Behrend, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, Alg Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 3, 313345.

BF. K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), no. 1, 45–88.

HT. D. Huybrechts and R. P. Thomas, *Deformation-obstruction theory for complexes via Atiyah and Kodaira Spencer classes*, Math. Ann. 346(2010), 545–569.

Ill. L. Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et deformations I,II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Nos. 239,283. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.

Ina. M. Inaba, *Toward a definition of moduli of complexes of coherent sheaves on a projective scheme*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 42(2):317-329, 2002.

KL. Y.H. Kiem and J. Li, *Gromov-Witten invariants of varieties with holomorphic 2-forms*, arXiv:0707.2986

KL2. Y.H. Kiem and J. Li, *Localized virtual cycle by cosections*, preprint, arxiv: 1007.3085.

KKP. B. Kim, A. Kresch and T. Pantev, *Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 179 (2003), no. 1-2, 127–136.

Kr1. A. Kresch, *Canonical rational equivalence of intersections of divisors*, Invent. Math. 136 (1999), no. 3, 483–496.

Kr2. A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. (1999), no. 3, 495-536.

Li1. J. Li, *A degeneration formula of GW-invariants*. J. Differential Geom. 60 (2002), no. 2, 199–293.

Li2. J. Li, *Zero dimensional Donaldson-Thomas invariants of threefolds*. Geom. Topol. 10 (2006), 2117-2171.

LT. J. Li and Gang. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), no. 1, 119–174.

Lie. M. Lieblich, *Moduli of complexes on a proper morphism*, J. Alg Geom. 15(2006), no.1, 175-206.

Lo. J. Lo, *Moduli of PT-semistable objects II*, arXiv:1011.6306.

Ma. C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, preprint, arXiv:0805.2065.

Vis. A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent math, 97(1989), 613-670.

Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
E-mail address: `mahlchang@ust.hk`

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University
E-mail address: `jli@math.stanford.edu`